

KENTUCKY[®] Regulations

Governing Regulation XX

Responsible Office: Office of the President and Office of the Provost

Date Effective:

Supersedes Version: New Governing Regulation

Faculty Disciplinary Policy and Procedures (DRAFT)

- 4 Hyper Links to be inserted later
- 6 I. Introduction

5

21

28

7 The University provides and sustains an environment conducive to academic achievement in all its forms. Maintenance of this environment requires that faculty pursue their academic activities in 8 accordance with appropriate standards of scholarly activity. The faculty's privileges and protections of 9 10 tenure rest on the mutually supportive relationships among the faculty's professional competence, its academic freedom, and the central functions of the university in granting degrees and furthering creative 11 accomplishments. These relationships are also the source of the professional responsibilities of faculty. 12 13 It is a responsibility of the university to maintain conditions and rights supportive of the faculty's pursuit of these central functions. 14 15

University faculty members, like all University employees, must obey the rules, standards, and procedures that arise under federal and state constitutions, statutes, and regulations, University Governing and Administrative Regulations, the University Senate Rules, and other regulatory jurisdictions (GR II.A). For purposes of this document, we define "misconduct" as a failure to obey the laws and regulations described in the preceding sentence.

As is consistent with the principles set forth in the professional standard of academic freedom as defined
by the American Association of University Professors and the Association of American Colleges and
Universities, academic freedom does not excuse a faculty member from complying with the rules,
standards and procedures that arise under federal and state laws, statutes, and regulations, University
Governing and Administrative Regulations, the University Senate Rules, and other regulatory
jurisdictions (GR II.A), as described in paragraph 2 above.

²⁹ II. Scope

30 This regulation is applicable to all faculty members in the University community ranging from entry-level 31 faculty ranks to the President of the University of Kentucky. For purposes of this regulation, a "faculty member" means an individual holding the rank of professor, associate professor, assistant professor, 32 instructor, senior lecturer, lecturer, and any other rank with responsibilities comparable to the 33 34 aforementioned ranks. This definition applies to faculty regardless of title series appointments, their distribution-of-effort agreements, any administrative appointments in addition to faculty academic 35 36 appointments, standing as tenured or untenured, visiting or permanent, temporary, emeritus or active, and full-time or part-time status. The definition of university faculty in this regulation is broader than the 37 definition of faculty in other regulations and in HR Policy 4.0. 38

39

This regulation does not apply to faculty performance review or expectations for scholarly activity for purposes of appointment, reappointment, promotion, and tenure. Faculty performance review and expectations for scholarly activity are covered in other Administrative Regulations (AR), as well as regulations that describe for each title series the expected activities and criteria for promotion and tenure.

To the extent that university administrators, including the President of the university, hold appointments as faculty members, faculty administrators are subject to this regulation with respect to activities related to their faculty appointment.

This regulation is separate and distinct from independent proceedings involving the enforcement of clinical rights and clinical responsibilities that arise under the Joint Commission mandated UK HealthCare Medical Staff By-laws, the federally mandated University's research misconduct policy, or the federally mandated Title IX Sexual Assault procedures. Faculty members who are subject to disciplinary proceedings under those procedures may be subjected to additional disciplinary proceedings under this regulation.

54 regulation

48

58

67

71

74 75

84

88

This regulation also does not describe the proceedings for the revocation of tenure or for the termination of academic appointments of faculty members who do not have tenure.

59 The procedures established by this regulation do not supersede Governing Regulations or Administrative 60 Regulations that specifically permit appeal to, or appearances before, the Board or a Board Committee. 61

62 III. Entities Affected

63 This regulation will apply to all faculty members as defined in this regulation. 64

65 IV. Procedures

66 The following procedures govern the handling of misconduct by a faculty member.

For purposes of computing deadlines under these procedures, if the deadline falls on a Sunday, Monday,
 university-recognized holiday or day when the University's Office of the President is closed, the deadline
 is automatically extended to the next day when the University's Office of the President is open.

For purposes of this regulation, "written notification" means notification by formal written letter and notification by electronic message.

A. Allegations

Any person may make a complaint against a faculty member by making allegations to the chair of a faculty member's department, the Dean of the faculty member's college, or an appropriate university official. If the allegations involve a criminal activity, then the complaint must occur within the Statute of Limitations as defined by state and/or federal law. If allegations do not involve criminal activity, then the complaint must occur within twelve (12) months or 365 days of the alleged behavior. Additionally, routine university operations, such as audits or compliance reviews, may result in allegations against a faculty member.

Allegations against a faculty member by a member of the University community that are made other than in good faith, may rise to the level of harassment and bring the Complainant under scrutiny as delineated in these regulations.

Allegations that are clearly related to issues of academic freedom (e.g. complaints about a faculty
 member's topic(s) of research or teaching materials) may be dismissed at the Dean's discretion

91 without need for involvement of General Counsel. 92

93 In the event of either allegations reported initially to a chair and subsequently to a Dean or allegations 94 reported directly to a Dean, the Dean must inform the General Counsel. In consultation with the 95 General Counsel, the Dean will determine (1) whether an investigation should be conducted, and (2) 96 if so, the appropriate university office(s) to conduct an investigation. The context of the allegation will 97 dictate the time at which the accused faculty member is informed of the allegation. For purposes of 98 this regulation, a dean may designate an appropriate associate dean and the General Counsel may 99 designate an associate counsel or non-university counsel retained by the General Counsel to handle 100 the allegation.

102 Allegations brought forward and adjudicated under this regulation cannot be reopened without 103 substantive new evidence, as determined by the General Counsel.

105 B. University Investigation

101

104

106

116

118 119

120

121 122

123 124

125

126 127

128

139

145

107 Depending on the nature of the allegation, the appropriate university office(s) (e.g., Institutional 108 Equity and Equal Opportunity, Internal Audit, UK HealthCare Corporate Compliance, UK Police, UK 109 Athletics Compliance, Office of Legal Counsel, etc.) will conduct the investigation. The report will include findings of fact, a conclusion as to whether misconduct occurred, and, if misconduct did 110 111 occur, a nonbinding recommendation regarding disciplinary action (*i.e.*, sanctions). The Office(s) 112 conducting the investigation will submit the investigation report to the General Counsel. The General 113 Counsel will transmit the report to the Dean of the faculty member's college. If an investigation finds 114 nothing to support an allegation, the General Counsel will transmit these findings to the Dean, the 115 Complainant (if known), and the accused faculty member.

117 C. Notification and Mediation

- 1. If the report indicates misconduct has occurred, the Dean will provide written notification to the faculty member as soon as feasible and preferably within two (2) <u>business</u> days. The Dean will provide the faculty member with a copy of the report. The faculty member may submit a written response to the Dean and General Counsel within seven (7) <u>business</u> days of <u>confirmed receipt</u> notification by the Dean. The Dean may extend this response period by an additional fourteen (14) days on oral or written request by the faculty member. If a faculty member is away from the <u>university for approved business travel</u>, annual leave, family leave or sick leave, or is unavailable to respond for any other university-approved absence, these time-periods for response are <u>extended by the duration of travel or leave</u>.
- 129 2. The Dean will schedule a meeting with the faculty member and the faculty member's chair, which will be held within twenty-one (21) days of the Dean's notification to the faculty member. The 130 131 purpose of the meeting is for the Dean, the chair and the faculty member to attempt to reach an 132 agreement as to whether misconduct occurred and, if so, an appropriate sanction. In cases where 133 the faculty member elects to have personal legal counsel at the meeting(s) with the Dean and 134 chair, an attorney from the Office of Legal Counsel must also be present. It is to be hoped that 135 the majority of cases will be resolved at this stage through mediation. If a faculty member is away 136 from the university for approved business travel, annual leave, family leave or sick leave, or is 137 unavailable to respond for any other university-approved absence, these time-periods for response are extended by the duration of travel or leave. 138
- 3. Sanctions will not take effect until a final determination of guilt is made and all appeals exhausted (see G9 below). Sanctions will not exceed a level that is reasonably commensurate with the seriousness of the misconduct. Sanctions are defined, for the purposes of this entire document, as the following:
 - Verbal reprimand

140			A Mritton concurre	
146			Written censure Destitution to the University for extual monstany demage suffered by the University	
147			Restitution to the University for actual monetary damage suffered by the University	
148			through misuse or unauthorized use of University property	
149			 Loss of specific privileges including, but not limited to, loss of access to University Pro- 	
150			Card or travel funds	
151			 Removal from supervisory role and loss of title associated with that role 	
152			 Removal from named or endowed chair or professorship 	
153			Reduction in salary for a specified period of time	
154			 Demotion in rank, but only if promotion was based on a fraudulent dossier 	
155			 Suspension with or without pay for a specified period of time 	
156			 Relocation and/or loss of space currently occupied by and/or assigned to the faculty 	
157			member	
158			Denial or curtailment of emeritus status, if applicable	
159			Recommending to the President and Board of Trustees that the faculty member in	
160			question be dismissed from the employ of the University	
161				
162			Suspension is defined, for the purposes of this entire document, as the temporary withdrawal or	
163			cessation from specific duties or employment as distinguished from permanent severance	
164			accomplished by removal.	
165				
166		4.	If the issue is resolved during the meeting/mediation, the Dean will notify the General Counsel.	
167			The General Counsel must approve any proposed agreement before its implementation.	
168				
169		5.	If the Dean and faculty member fail to reach an agreement during the meeting as to whether	
170			misconduct occurred, the matter is referred to a Faculty Inquiry Panel. The Dean will notify the	
171			General Counsel that no agreement was reached on whether or not misconduct occurred. The	
172			General Counsel will then initiate the process leading to the selection of a Faculty Inquiry Panel	
173			by contacting the Chair of the University Senate Council.	
174				
175		6.	If the Dean and the faculty member agree that misconduct occurred, but they disagree on the	
176			appropriate sanction or sanctions, the issue will be referred to the Provost, who will decide an	
177			appropriate sanction or sanctions within seven (7) days. As described below, the faculty member	
178			may appeal the Provost's decision to the President.	
179				
180	D.	Se	lection of the Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool	
181				
182		1.	After seeking nominations from the University Senate, the University Senate Council will provide	
183			the President with the names of at least thirty-six (36) faculty members eligible to serve in the	
184			Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool with staggered three-year terms; members may be reappointed.	
185			Efforts will be made by the University Senate Council to ensure that the Faculty Disciplinary Panel	
186			Pool includes [1] at least one tenured faculty member from each college and [2] at least one	
187			untenured faculty member from each of the Clinical and Research Title Series at the rank of	
188			professor or associate professor.	
189				
190		2	The President appoints twenty-five (25) members of the Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool from	
190		۷.	nominations submitted by the University Senate Council. The appointed faculty will serve on	
191			either a Faculty Inquiry Panel or a Faculty Hearing Panel but not both for the same case.	
192			onnor a racenty inquiry raner or a racenty rearing raner but not both for the same case.	
193 194	F	Faculty Inquiry Panel		
194 195				
196		1.	The Faculty Inquiry Panel is a three-person panel comprised of (1) one tenured faculty member	

1961. The Faculty Inquiry Panel is a three-person panel comprised of (1) one tenured faculty member197selected at random by a uniform random number generator from the Faculty Disciplinary Hearing198Panel Pool; the Vice-President for Human Resources or a designee; and an associate provost199selected by the Provost. The rationale for the panel member from Human Resources is to ensure200a balance in evaluating cases involving faculty *versus* those involving staff, and the rationale for

the associate provost is to ensure a balance in evaluating cases across colleges. The General Counsel will notify the University Senate Council Chair that there is a need for a Faculty Inquiry Panel and the Chair of the University Senate Council will select a faculty member at random from the Faculty Disciplinary Hearing Panel Pool using a uniform random number generator. Precautions will be taken against real or apparent conflicts of interest on the part of potential members of the Faculty Inquiry Panel. Such conflicts of interest may include: administrative dependency, close personal relationships, collaborative relationships, financial interest, or scientific or scholarly bias. The panel members will be expected to state in writing that they have no conflicts of interest.

- 2. The Faculty Inquiry Panel is responsible for determining whether there is probable cause to believe misconduct has occurred. The Faculty Inquiry Panel is limited to a review of the initial investigation report and the faculty member's written response, if any. The Faculty Inquiry Panel may interview the faculty member, the chair and the Dean, but the Faculty Inquiry Panel may not conduct a further investigation. The Faculty Inquiry Panel may consider new findings of fact that were not considered in the original investigation and that are provided by the General Counsel.
 - 3. If the allegation involves a chair or dean, the Faculty Inquiry Panel will be expanded to include three (3) tenured faculty members, who hold appointments in colleges other than that of the chair or dean and who will be selected at random by a uniform random number generator from the Faculty Disciplinary Hearing Panel Pool; the Vice-President for Human Resources or a designee; and an associate provost selected by the Provost.
 - 4. If the allegation involves a faculty employee holding an administration position above the level of either a dean or an administratively equivalent position, the procedures to be used for convening a Faculty Inquiry Panel will be determined by the President in consultation with Senate Council.
 - 5. If the allegations involve the President, the procedures will be determined by the Board of Trustees in consultation with the Senate Council.
 - 6. If the Faculty Inquiry Panel, by a majority vote, finds there is probable cause to believe misconduct has occurred, the case is referred to a Faculty Hearing Panel. The Faculty Inquiry Panel will send notification *via* electronic mail of this finding to the Dean, the Complainant (if known), the faculty member, and the General Counsel.
 - 7. If the Faculty Inquiry Panel, by a majority vote, finds that there is no probable cause to believe misconduct has occurred, the case is dismissed. The Faculty Inquiry Panel will send Notification of this finding to the Dean, the Complainant (if known), the faculty member, and the General Counsel.
- 241 F. Faculty Hearing Panel

1. The Faculty Hearing Panel is comprised of five (5) faculty members, who are selected at random by the Senate Council Chair from the Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool using a uniform random number generator. The Senate Council Chair will consult with the Chair of the Department of Statistics on the selection of this uniform random number generator. Faculty members who occupy a position of administrative supervision over faculty personnel (*i.e.*, individuals with greater than or equal to 50% administrative effort or individuals with an administrative assignment at the level of chair or above) are ineligible to serve in the Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool. A person who served on the Faculty Inquiry Panel may not serve on the Faculty Hearing Panel in the same case. Any member of the Faculty Hearing Panel who has personal involvement or prior factual knowledge of the case (*i.e.*, knowing something pertinent to the case before it occurs publicly) will recuse himself or herself. If a Faculty Hearing Panel member chooses recusal, then a new member will be chosen at random from the Pool by the Senate Council Chair using a uniform random number generator. Precautions will be taken against real or apparent conflicts of

interest on the part of potential members of the Faculty Hearing Panel. Such conflicts of interest
 may include: administrative dependency, close personal relationships, collaborative relationships,
 financial interest, or scientific or scholarly bias. The panel members will be expected to state in
 writing that they have no conflicts of interest.

- 261
 2. The General Counsel, upon receipt of a report from the Faculty Inquiry Panel that there is probable cause to believe misconduct has occurred, will notify the Senate Council Chair that a Faculty Hearing Panel is needed.
- 3. The Chair of the University Senate Council will select members of the panel at random from the Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool using a uniform random number generator. The Senate Council Chair will contact faculty members to serve on the Faculty Hearing Panel within seven (7) days of receiving notification from the General Counsel. The Chair of the University Senate Council will inform potential members of the Faculty Hearing Panel that the case involves a named faculty member in a particular college.

264

288 289

290

291

292 293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300 301

302

303

304

- 4. Once a Faculty Hearing Panel is selected, the Dean and faculty member may each exclude one member from the Panel. If either the Dean or faculty member excludes a Panel member, then the Senate Council Chair will select a new member or members at random from the Faculty Disciplinary Panel Pool using a uniform random number generator. When such exclusions occur, the seven-day period for appointing the Faculty Hearing Panel will be extended by an additional seven (7) days.
- 278 279 5. The Faculty Hearing Panel will conduct the hearing within thirty (30) days of receiving the referral 280 unless the Provost extends the time period in extraordinary circumstances. The Dean, through a 281 representative of the Office of Legal Counsel, will present the case against the faculty member. 282 The accused faculty member, either alone or with the assistance of at most two (2) additional 283 persons, such as an attorney and a representative chosen by the accused faculty member, may 284 present a defense. The parties may call witnesses, cross-examine the other party's witnesses, and present other evidence; however, as this is an administrative hearing, formal rules of 285 286 evidence and procedure do not apply. The Faculty Hearing Panel may admit any evidence of 287 probative value in determining the issues involved.
 - 6. The burden of proof standard is clear and convincing evidence. The burden of demonstrating that misconduct occurred is on the representative of the Office of Legal Counsel, and the accused faculty member is presumed to be innocent.
 - 7. After the hearing, the Faculty Hearing Panel will consider the evidence presented at the hearing, and the majority will issue written findings of fact and conclusions of law (*i.e.*, conclusion regarding misconduct) within seven (7) days of the conclusion of the hearing. If a member of the Faculty Hearing Panel dissents with the majority decision, that member may submit a written explanation of his/her dissension. The Faculty Hearing Panel will send the written findings, including dissents, and decision to the Provost, the Dean, , the accused faculty member, and the General Counsel.
 - If the Faculty Hearing Panel finds the accused faculty member guilty of misconduct, the Panel will recommend nonbinding sanctions to the Provost. The faculty member may appeal the finding of guilt.
- If the Faculty Hearing Panel finds that the accused faculty member is not guilty of misconduct, the case is closed unless the dean submits a written appeal of the innocent decision based on substantive errors in the faculty hearing panel process or errors in interpretation of fact or law .
- 309
 10. If the allegation involves the Provost, the President will assume the role of the Provost in these
 310 proceeding.

- 312 11. If the allegation involves the President, the Board of Trustees assumes the role of the Provost in
 313 these proceedings.
- 315 G. <u>Appeals</u> 316

311

314

322

327

340

341 342

343 344

345

346

351

359

362

This section covers appeals arising out of the decision and nonbinding recommendations from the Faculty Hearing Panel and the sanctions recommended by the Provost. The procedures established by this regulation do not supersede Governing Regulations or Administrative Regulations that specifically permit appeal to, or appearances before, the Board or a Board Committee.

- If the faculty member or Dean does not file a written appeal within seven (7) days of receipt of the Faculty Hearing Panel's written decision, the decision of the Faculty Hearing Panel as to guilt or innocence shall be final. The complainant, if known, shall be informed of the decision by General Counsel, to the extent required by law.
- 328 2. The Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel shall hear all appeals. The panel consists of the Provost, 329 the Chair of the Senate Council, and the Academic Ombud. In the event the Provost is unable to serve, the President shall appoint a replacement. In the event that the Academic Ombud or the 330 331 Chair of the Senate Council is unable to serve, the Senate Council shall appoint replacement(s). 332 Precautions will be taken against real or apparent conflicts of interest on the part of potential members of the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel. Such conflicts of interest may include: 333 334 administrative dependency, close personal relationships, collaborative relationships, financial 335 interest, or scientific or scholarly bias. The panel members will be expected to state in writing that 336 they have no conflicts of interest. 337
- 338
 3. The faculty member and the dean will be provided with copies of the written appeal and given an opportunity to respond in writing.
 - 4. The deliberations of the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel are limited to review of the specific issues raised by the appellant (IV.F.8, F9). In determining whether the factual findings are clearly erroneous, the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel will determine whether substantial evidence (i.e. evidence a reasonable person would accept as adequate to support the conclusion) supports the factual findings.
- When a party appeals to the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel, the party must submit a written
 brief detailing the basis for the appeal and providing supporting evidence attesting to the validity
 of the appeal. The party that prevailed at the Faculty Hearing Panel will be provided with a copy
 of this brief and may submit a written response brief within seven (7) days.
- 6. The Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel will render a final decision within seven (7) days of the submission of briefs. If the Appeals Panel's decision is in agreement with the Hearing Panel, the appellant and the appellee are notified of the Panel's decision and the reasons for the decision. If the decision of the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel is not in agreement with the decision of the Faculty Hearing Panel, the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel must also send a written justification for the reversal to the Faculty Hearing Panel, the University Senate Council, and the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees.
- 3603617. If the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals Panel determines the faculty member is innocent, the matter is closed.
- 363
 364
 364
 365
 8. If the Faculty Disciplinary Appeals panel determines the faculty member is guilty, the Provost shall impose sanctions. In determining sanctions, the Provost is guided, but not bound, by the Faculty Hearing Panel's recommendation, if any, concerning sanctions (If the Faculty Hearing

- Panel found the faculty member to be innocent, but the decision was reversed on appeal, there will be no Faculty Hearing Panel recommendation). The Provost will send notification of the sanctions decision to the Dean, the University faculty member, the General Counsel, and the Complainant, if known.
- Within seven (7) days of receipt of the Provost's decision regarding a sanction or sanctions, the accused faculty member may appeal the Provost's decision regarding any sanction to the President. On an appeal of a particular sanction, the President will consider whether the determination is reasonable in light of sanctions imposed on similarly situated staff members and similarly situated faculty in other colleges. The President will render a final decision regarding a sanction or sanctions within seven (7) days.
 - 10. The sanction or sanctions will not take effect until the time to appeal the sanction or sanctions has expired or the President has rendered his decision.
 - 11. The complainant, if known, shall be informed of the decision by General Counsel to the extent required by law.
 - 12. Confidentiality of the record is guaranteed in accordance with Governing Regulations XVI Section B., 1
- 387 V. Retaliation
- Retaliation is prohibited. Retaliation occurs when an adverse action is taken against a covered individual because he or she engaged in a protected activity including but not limited to reporting discrimination or participating in an investigation of a discrimination report. (See AR 6.1)
- 391

397

398

399 400

401 402

403

404 405

377 378

379

380 381

382

383 384

385

386

³⁹² VI. Administrative Leave With Pay during the Process

At any time during the process beginning with receipt of an allegation, the Provost, after consultation with and on the advice of the General Counsel, may initiate immediate, involuntary administrative leave with pay. The Provost may impose additional conditions as part of the administrative leave with pay.

- 1. The Provost will impose involuntary administrative leave with pay only if the Provost determines that there is a risk that the accused faculty member's continued assignment to regular duties or presence on campus may cause harm to others in the University community, impede the investigation, or create a risk of continuing misconduct.
- 2. Involuntary administrative leave with pay for more than thirty (30) days requires approval of the Board of Trustees.
 - 3. The accused faculty member may appeal the imposition of involuntary administrative leave with pay to the Senate Advisory Committee on Privilege and Tenure (SACPT), under SR1.4.4.2B4.
- 406 407

408 References and Related Materials

409

410

411 **Revision History**

412

For questions, contact: Office of Legal Counsel 414 415

_3CLee edits_DRAFT GR_Faculty Disciplinary Action_sen.docx

Main document changes and comments						
Page 1: Formatted	ED1	4/21/2015 2:29:00 PM				
Font: 11 pt						
Page 1: Formatted	ED1	4/21/2015 2:29:00 PM				
Font: 11 pt						
Page 2: Inserted	ED1	4/21/2015 2:20:00 PM				

If the allegations involve a criminal activity, then the complaint must occur within the Statute of Limitations as defined by state and/or federal law. If allegations do not involve criminal activity, then the complaint must occur within twelve (12) months or 365 days of the alleged behavior.

Page 2: Inserted	ED1	4/21/2015 3:22:00 PM
Page 2: Inserted	ED1	4/21/2015 3:43:00 PM

Allegations that are clearly related to issues of academic freedom (e.g. complaints about a faculty member's topic(s) of research or teaching materials) may be dismissed at the Dean's discretion without need for involvement of General Counsel.

Page 2: Formatted	ED1	4/21/2015 3:43:00 PM
Font: 11 pt		
Page 2: Formatted	ED1	4/21/2015 3:43:00 PM
Font: 11 pt		
Page 2: Formatted	ED1	4/21/2015 3:43:00 PM
Font: 11 pt		
Page 3: Inserted	Sheila Brothers	4/24/2015 4:33:00 PM
Page 3: Inserted	ED1	4/21/2015 12:49:00 PM
business		
Page 3: Inserted	ED1	4/21/2015 12:50:00 PM
business		
Page 3: Inserted	ED1	4/21/2015 12:50:00 PM
confirmed receipt		
Page 3: Inserted	ED1	4/21/2015 12:58:00 PM
If a faculty member is away	from the university for approved busine	ess travel, annual leave,

If a faculty member is away from the university for approved business travel, annual leave, family leave or sick leave, or is unavailable to respond for any other university-approved absence, these time-periods for response are extended by the duration of travel or leave.

Page 3: Formatted	ED1	4/21/2015 2:18:00 PM				
Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt						
Page 3: Formatted	ED1	4/21/2015 2:18:00 PM				
Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt						
Page 3: Inserted	ED1	4/21/2015 2:19:00 PM				

If a faculty member is away from the university for approved business travel, annual leave, family leave or sick leave, or is unavailable to respond for any other university-approved absence, these time-periods for response are extended by the duration of travel or leave.

Header and footer changes

Text Box changes

Header and footer text box changes

Footnote changes

Endnote changes